

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURWRIT PETITION No. 1968 /2006PETITIONER

Lakhan Lal Banjare, S/o Shri A.D.
Banjare, Aged about 46 years,
Presently posted at Assistant Grade III,
Janpad Panchayat Office, Bemetara,
District – Durg (C.G.)
Permanent Address R/o Village Karra,
Tahsil Saja, District Durg (C.G.)

P.R. No. 203066
Presented by S.C. Verma
dated 13/4/06

VersusRESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through the Secretary,
Revenue, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Collector, District Durg (C.G.)
The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad
Panchayat, Bemetara, District Durg (C.G.)
4. The Collector, District Dhamtari (C.G.)
5. Tahsildar, Nawagarh, District Durg (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
13/4/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR


WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER
As mentioned in the cause title above.
2. PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS
As mentioned in the cause title above.
3. PARTICULARS OF THE ORDER AGAINST WHICH THE PETITION IS BEING MADE:

Being aggrieved by the order dated 2-8-2005 passed by the respondent No. 1, in contravention of the transfer policy of the year 2005, which was applicable up to the period of 31st August 2005. A copy of the order dated 2-8-2005 is being filed as Annexure P/1.

SUBJECT MATTER IN BRIEF:

As narreted in para No. 5.1 of this petition.



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Writ Petition No. 1968 of 2006

Petitioner : Lakhan Lal Banjare

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

**Present : Shri S. C. Verma, counsel for the petitioner.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the
respondents/State.**

ORDER

(Passed on 23rd day of February, 2007)

1. The petitioner, while working as Assistant Grade-III at Janpad Panchayat Office, Bemetara, District Durg, was transferred to Block Janpad Panchayat, Bemetara on administrative ground vide order dated 2.8.2005 (P/1). Thereafter, new order dated 7.7.2006 (P/A-1) was passed.
2. Learned counsel appearing for petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to challenge the order dated 2.8.2005 (P/1). He further submits that the respondents be directed to permit the petitioner to join the new place of posting as per order dated 7.7.2006 (P/A-1), to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents/State has no objection.
3. It is ordered accordingly.
4. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as having become infructuous. The respondents/State shall take steps to give effect to the order dated 7.7.2006 (P/A-1). No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge